

The Editor.

The editor has been confined to his room for some time by a severe attack of illness. By the following paragraph which we clip from the Union of the 21st, we perceive he is again able to attend to his duties in the House:

We were glad to perceive Mr. W. J. Brown, of Indiana, in his seat yesterday, after having been confined for a week to his lodgings.

Health of Cincinnati.

The Board of health reported a few cases of cholera in Cincinnati on Sunday last—since which time no new cases have been reported. The Cincinnati Gazette of Thursday, the latest date received, says:—
“We made diligent enquiry yesterday, up to a late hour in the afternoon, but heard of no cases of cholera, old or new, in the city. In 1834 this mysterious disease appeared in our midst, for about 24 hours, struck down a few victims, and disappeared. The city appears very healthy, but the weather is hot and we should avoid exposure and all impurities in diet and clothing.”

The Southern Press.

This is the title of a new daily paper which has recently been issued at Washington. City under the editorial supervision of ELWOOD FISHER, late of Indiana, and EDWIN DE LEOX of Charleston, S. C. It is to be devoted to Southern interests and Southern institutions, without regard to party. It opposes all compromises, all concessions, and, in that, is a co-worker with the National Era, the Abolition press as ably conducted by Dr. Bailey. If quiet and harmony should be restored, these men's occupation would be gone. They will therefore, jointly, contribute to keep the cauldron boiling, and when they shall have ripened the “apple of discord,” they hope to pluck and divide it. The one to be the organ of a Northern, and the other a Southern confederacy. Fisher (we won't say Mr., because that would be an insult to his religion) is an able man, a fine writer, stronger for the South, than the South is itself. He will show them things where they never dreamed they had any; and, besides he is great on statistics. He can prove by statistics, by figures, that won't lie, that the only happy, enlightened and prosperous country on this continent is where slavery exists. He can prove that Wilberforce was a madman, and that Cowper, when he said

“I would not have a slave to fan me”

Was only fit for a lunatic asylum. We have fallen upon strange times, and not the least strange sight is to see a meek and lowly Quaker, in the plain garb, and plain language of William Penn and George Fox, writing of the beauty and holiness of slavery. But this is the age of improvement! The paper has been gotten up at the expense of a few of the southern hotspots. They are bound to keep it up. They will be bound to bleed freely in the pocket. May “friend Elwood” get his share. That is the best wish we have for him.

The Lafayette Journal is coming into the support of slavery with great rapidity. The daily of the 25th inst. is out in defence of General Taylor's late purchase, and more than intimates that three hundred slaves are essential to the old gentleman's comfort in his declining years. We made no objections to this, and should not be brought to task for repeating the language of the Washington clergyman who called on Gen. Taylor for a subscription, and was informed, that, unlike Madison and Jefferson, he did not intend to go out of office bankrupt, and therefore could give nothing. The editor of the Journal must certainly be scared about the Post Office printing, for a free soil editor would never abuse a United States Senator, like a pickpocket, for voting for the Wilnot proviso, and admit that three hundred negro slaves were essential to the comfort of a retired President, without some cogent and powerful reason for such a sudden change of opinion. The Post office printing, at Lafayette, we suppose, to be worth some fifteen dollars a year. This, perhaps, may be the full value of the editor's support of the administration; but in these days of Galphianism we should suppose that more might be offered. We will leave it to Bannman. Burns is after you!

Death of James H. Henry, Esq.

The Terre Haute Express of the 20th inst. contains the following account of the death of Mr. Henry. He was quite a young man for the station he occupied in the Legislature; but none stood higher in a moral, social or political point of view. The Express says:—
“A letter has been received in town from James M. Riddle, stating that Mr. Henry died at Phillipsburgh, Beaver county, Penn., on the 17th inst., of inflammation of the stomach. Mr. Henry and Mr. Riddle were on their road to a water cure establishment further east, but Mr. R. got too unwell on the road to travel, and they stopped at the water cure establishment in Beaver county.”

Mr. Henry served one term in the State Senate from the county of Vigo, Clay, and Sullivan, in which station he acquitted himself very creditably, and to the satisfaction of a large majority of his constituents. In the social relations of life, he had many warm friends, as he never shrank from his duties as a neighbor or citizen. He requested Mr. Riddle to take his body to New York for interment, and he met the “grim king” with the resignation of a Christian and the courage of a true soldier, who feared not the result. He was a valued member of the order of Odd Fellows at this place.

New Mexico.

It is stated in the St. Louis Republican of the 22d inst., on the authority of news from the plains, that the story of Col. Moore having issued a proclamation authorizing the calling of a Convention to form a State Government, is not at all reliable. An extra received by the Republican says:

“The only additional items of intelligence brought by these gentlemen, at all important, is, that Major Neighbors, the Texas Commissioner, having entirely failed in his mission, had returned to Texas—the people of New Mexico having refused to acknowledge his authority, or the authority of Texas. They had had a Convention at Santa Fe, and instructed their Representative at Washington, Hugh N. Smith, Esq., as to what they wanted Congress to do for them. Mr. Anderson bears these instructions, but we have not learned their terms.”

We understand that Mr. ORLANDO CRANE, a true and genuine Whig of Hancock county, is the Whig candidate for Senatorial Delegate in the district composed of the counties of Madison and Hancock. By a united effort, owing to the disaffection among the Democrats as to Mr. Riley, the Whigs can elect Mr. Crane, and we hope they may do it.—Indiana Journal.

The above hints from the Journal we trust will be useful to the Democracy and induce them to adopt such measures as will effectually prevent the hopes of the editor from being fulfilled. The whigs here are clucking over their prospects in Hancock. They should be disappointed in their expectations.

Mr. FOREST, the tragedian, has been held to bail in the sum of five thousand dollars, in an action for assault and battery, brought by Mr. N. P. Willis, in which the damages are laid at ten thousand dollars.

Forest at the time of his encounter with Willis charged the latter with being the seducer of his wife, and gave this as the reason of his attack.

FOURTH OF JULY.—The different Sabbath Schools, as usual, will have a celebration on the morning of the fourth. The Declaration of Independence will be read by MISS FLETCHER, and an address delivered by Mr. J. P. SATTORF.

Indiana State Sentinel.

Published by Austin H. Brown.

INDIANAPOLIS, JULY 4, 1850.

[Volume XXXIII: Number 5.]

The Galphins are Coming.

Every day unfolds some new and startling tale, of the recklessness and extravagance of this Administration. It is a glorious time for agents. Rich harvests are now reaped from fields heretofore well-gleaned. Old Virginia commutation claims are raked up; and not only interest, but compound interest is paid. Old Indian claims, and rejected army claims, and a host of others. The agents always get one half. Immense fortunes have been made. The treasury is easy of access, and richer than the gold placers of the Sacramento. But, to ensure success in striking one of these rich veins—the agent must first show that he is a whig—an original Taylor whig—a prominent whig; one that has done the party some service. One with claims high enough to be a foreign minister. These rich claims are his reward; and thus the present Galphin concern can, with these allowances, and the dispensation of office, gratify a greater number of hungry appetites than any former administration.

They have their lands in the people's treasury, and have sagacity enough to know that they are already condemned. They must then take what they have a chance, for their day of festivity will soon be over. They are dancing to the sweet music of the pipe; but the people are paying the piper. No wonder they are talking of deficiencies in the treasury, high tariffs and direct taxation—losses and stocks can only keep up such extravagance. Only think of those old dead and rotten claims, whose bones were thought to be marrowless, resurrected into life.

THE ALLEN CLAIM !!

THE BARRON CLAIM !!

THE EWING CLAIM !!

THE BENSON CLAIM !!

THE ALABAMA CLAIM !!

THE VIRGINIA CLAIM !!

THE WINNEBAGO CLAIM !!

THE CHICKASAW CLAIM !!

THE DE LA FRANCHIA CLAIM !!
THE MAMMOTH GALPHIN CLAIM !!
Amounting to largely over a million of dollars. Will the honest tax payer, road working farmers longer submit to such frauds. Let them speak at the ballot box.

A Voice from California.

The following is an extract from a letter recently received in Washington City, from Peter H. Burnett, Esq., Governor of California:

SAN JOSE, April 25, 1850.

This dissolution of the Union is entire and utter ruin; and for one I am determined to oppose it. Our Union here is all loyal and true. There is no doubt of that. We want no independent Government here, to be at the will and mercy of all the great powers of the world. I think the friends of the Union ought to take a more bold and decided stand, and speak in the determined language of the great hero and statesman Jackson: “The Union must and shall be preserved.” I am sure, were I a member of Congress, I should place myself upon high ground, and declare, and if the Union had to go down, I would go down with it. God forbid that such a misfortune should ever happen. Our Legislature adjourned on the 23d inst. The laws, when published, will form a volume of from 500 to 600 pages.

I have had to appoint eleven collectors for the mining counties, including San Francisco; San Francisco, Mr. N. M. Miller; Mariposa, Benjamin McCallough; Alameda, L. A. Benson; Calaveras, William B. Judd; San Joaquin, Thomas B. Van Buren; Sacramento, William C. Baker; El Dorado, William W. Gilt; Sutter, William H. Richardson; Yuba, Riley Gregg; Sparta, John F. Ankeny; and Butte, A. W. Adams; O. P. Sutton, of San Francisco, director of the State Assayer's Office; and Fred. H. Kohler, of Berkeley, assayer, melter and refiner. The gold will only be put into bags, and their mint value stamped upon them, and they will be received in payment of State debts. We found it necessary to establish such an office, as the quicksilver gold allowed so much room for fraud that the merchants were about to refuse it.

The most profitable way to work the mines is by the use of quicksilver; and it was necessary to establish an Assayer's office to insure the State and the people from injury. The quicksilver mine of New Almaden, within 12 miles of this place, is valued at seven millions of dollars. In a few days, Mr. Forbes informs me, they will have twenty-six returns in operation, and will extract 8,000 lbs. daily, worth from \$5,000 to \$5,000—more than two millions annually. Only think of this! This is only one of several mines, but it is the largest.

Our country is rapidly improving. You would not know San Francisco or Sacramento. In the latter place more than 1,000 houses have gone up since you left. Our agriculture is interesting, and the mines yield as well, perhaps better than they did last year. We shall be able to build up a great and happy people. We are successful. There is no doubt about it. We will manage things here. Don't give up the Union. Before you do this, gather up all the manuscripts, all the books, all the newspapers, over the whole world, that ever did say anything of our glorious revolution, and burn them all up, that not one record of the heroic and virtuous past shall ever remain to reproach us and our posterity with our crimes and follies. Full and ample justice shall be done to the South; and for fear that enough had not been done, I would go a little beyond it, and do her more than strict justice. So I would to the North; and after having done this, if either section should attempt to dissolve the Union, they should be branded as enemies to their country.

At the appeal be made to the honest and toiling millions of those who have every motive to be loyal, and true to their country. Go down among the masses, and feel the pulse of this mighty nation. Ah! sir, they are uncorrupted and unconquerable still! They love our institutions—yet venerate the names and deeds of the mighty dead. For what did that host of patriots bleed in the great revolution! Shall we blot out or disgrace the past—the present, and shut out hope from the future, out of place any where else. It must go there. It cannot be otherwise.

I have unshaken and abiding faith in the Union. I do not believe that all the madmen in America can shake it. The thing, if not impossible, is next to it. I must think some plan will be adopted to settle the controversy. Suppose you pass a short act of Congress, allowing the people of all the Territories and now or hereafter belong to the United States to organize temporary provisional governments for themselves, to regulate their own mere internal business and social relations, with the right of appeal to the courts of the United States in the same cases as appeals from the State courts. Let these provisional governments be restricted from either prohibiting or permitting slavery, until they formed their State constitution, and then settle it for themselves. What wrong could this do either section?

When our Legislature adjourned, the members gave five cheers for California, and seven cheers for the Union. Your friend,

PETER H. BURNETT.

Messrs. Albertson and McDonald.

We are pleased to notice, that the members of Congress from Indiana, instead of making long-winded speeches, have been using their efforts to cheer the declining days of the patriot soldiers of the war of 1812 and of the Indian wars; and that they have been foremost in making liberal allowances of land for their services. It will be perceived, by our telegraphic dispatches, that the bill has passed the House of Representatives.

On the 19th inst., Mr. ALBERTSON, of Indiana, offered an amendment to the bill, which will be explained by his speech below.

Mr. McDonald gave notice of the following amendment, which was read:

To strike out of the fifth line of the bill the words, “for a period of six months and over,” and to insert in the sixteenth line, after the word “interior,” the words “for all those who served three months and over, and less than six months, eighty acres,” and to strike out the word “eighty,” and insert “one hundred and twenty,” as the bounty for those who served six months, and less than twelve.

Mr. Albertson said, in offering his amendment to the original bill, he did not intend to make any lengthy remarks. His amendment reaches a large class of those patriotic and meritorious soldiers, who had devoted themselves to the defence and glory of our common country, for whom the original bill does not provide. The bill of the committee provides only a limited service of six months and longer, but his amendment provides for all—well for those provided for in the original bill, who had served for six months or longer, as for those who may have served for a less term of time. He could see no just grounds or reason why a distinction should be made, where really no distinction exists. Those who had served for three, four, or five months, were as meritorious in degree as those who had served for a longer term. He urged the great and urgent demand in the public mind, why this large and patriotic class of our fellow-citizens, who left their families and homes, and endured the dangers, privations, and hardships of war, for the defence and security of our firesides and our homes, should now, at this late day, receive the small and inadequate compensation which this bill now proposes to give them, for their invaluable public services. Where, he asked, was the man, with an American heart in an American bosom, that would not be willing, at this late day, to come forward, and in some degree do justice to these patriotic citizen-soldiers, to whose bravery and prowess we are indebted for the perfect security of our families and homes? He trusted that this Congress would not adjourn before some bill had been passed providing for them. If it does not, in his humble opinion, the reason would not be because the public voice did not demand it at our hands.

Mr. McDonald. I had supposed, sir, that the time had arrived when the case of the soldiers of the war of 1812 would be taken up and discussed, and their claims considered without running into party measures. I supposed, when the question was asked, on this occasion, the only occasion when the question has been agitated in this House during this session, this hostility would not have been manifested. I had supposed that the people of the United States had formed an opinion as to the justice of these bounties, and that this bill would not be met by an attack upon the principle upon which it is based. But I have been mistaken. I had gentlemen rising on the opposite side of this House, and attacking the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage. The gentleman from Maryland is particularly hostile, and has labored most strenuously to defeat it. He denounces it on the ground that it is contrary to the Democratic principle. If that be true, then I would expect the gentleman to support it. His conversion to Democracy is too recent for me to put any trust in it. It is not from the oratorical, nor from the side of the bill, and endeavoring to defeat its passage.